

CVMUN News

Written by the Press Corps Committee



Second Session

Meet the traitors of the UN Security Council: Russia, China, and the United States break the ceasefire deal in the Isreali-Hamas conflict

By Jonathan Ellison, Al-Jazeera

UN Security Council, Plattsburgh NY, Dec 4th 2025

Times are changing fast in the Security Council as while working to resolve the conflicts of the Levant Region in the Middle East, the flow of debate was completely shaken up by the announcement of a sudden and drastic crisis event. It was announced that in a shocking turn of events, Russia and China broke the deal of the ceasefire, and supplied Hamas with weaponry. However, this action was only done because it turned out the United States had been supplying Isreal with weapons of their own, fully sanctioned under the US Navy.



Despite the desperate times, our Security Council was still able to come together to show their bright smiles. (I didn't ask them to, they just did this)

Luckily, Al-Jazeera was able to get our most reliable reporter on the scene, and he managed to get a questionnaire with the delegates of the Security Council. After a long interview consisting of tension,

thorough conversations, a briefing on how the delegates points and motions work, and sending Dan (the man) out for drinks, we were able to receive plenty of information, thoughts, and strongly-worded opinions on the crisis at hand.

When asked why Russia and China would choose to violate the ceasefire, Israel made the claim that it was to “uphold spheres of influence globally”, on the other hand, China claimed it was merely an act of retaliation against actions the US had already taken. When questioned on what effect this could have on the future of the Middle East, the delegation of Palestine claimed that it “will only increase tension and conflict,” and that this could have devastating consequences on every Arab nation, not just Isreal and Palestine. Many arguments were presented in response to the questions asked, some saying that

“ Hamas is only acting in repercussion to Isreal’s actions” and others such as the US saying that their breaking of the ceasefire was merely “in response to intelligence now proved reliable that revealed Russia and China’s administration of unsanctioned weaponry.” This was quickly responded to by Palestine, who claimed that the US weapons would count as unsanctioned as well.

After the questionnaire, tension became high among delegates, and it would only get worse as more information came out that would completely rearrange bloc positions and alliances. It was revealed that Algeria had joined forces with Egypt (who was not present for today’s meeting) to blockade a US weaponry base located in the nation of Djibouti. This information was then confirmed to be true by Algeria, and from there, blocs were officially finalized.

Algeria, China, Russia, and Palestine joined together to make the SUSS bloc, a bloc that operates on the idea of reinstating the original borders of the two state system put in place for Isreal and Palestine back in 1947. The bloc claims that Hamas should be viewed as “freedom fighters” as they are “protecting a smaller nation that cannot defend itself otherwise.”

On the other side of the conflict, the NSPDA bloc was formed by the United States, United Kingdom, and Isreal. The bloc claimed they were “in favor of peace and humanitarian aid” but also that they would “refuse to bow down to blatant authoritarianism” as stated by the US.



The two blocs discuss their plans and ideals amongst eachother, with SUSS on the left and NSPDA on the right.

With both blocs formed, and no set and stone solutions in sight, the Security Council certainly has their work cut out for them. Will they come to a resolution on this matter that can escape the fate of being vetoed? Only time will tell.

UNODC - Russia Goes Radical

Logan Dearborn, Russian News

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime committee started with many common themes between the two major blocs. Each group aimed to create a set of legal limitations for intrusions into private matters of civilians, as well as making sure that their working paper would aid smaller nations. However, mid-way through the conference, Russia and its followers have decided to take a different approach, in hopes of diversifying the debate.

Russia's new bloc, the 1984 Bloc, have chosen to go the "full sovereignty" route for their resolution, allowing smaller nations to gain control over their laws, increasing the benefits of smaller nations to escape the shadow of larger, imposing nations. As the Russian Federation delegate said during debate, "all they want is to know more about you".

Not only is the Russian bloc promoting sovereignty to smaller nations, they also have created MoneyCoin. This cryptocurrency can aid smaller nations develop, and is supported by various factors.

shown: the Russian delegate promoting the 1984 bloc.



These include payments by the larger nations in the bloc, such as Russia or Germany, the monetary gain from acquisition of criminal assets, and any NGOs that wish to add any extra funding. The MoneyCoin will be regulated by an international organization, named the International Coin Bank.

Another aspect of the 1984 bloc is the aforementioned acquisition of criminal assets. Various organizations were created to target cartel organizations. These organizations will only be allowed to hunt cartels or crime organizations in international territories, so as to not overstep the final goal of full sovereignty for all.

Criminals will also be allowed into a separate rehabilitation program to utilise their knowledge of technology for the good of a nation. This program will be similar to the “Zero Day Initiative” where hackers and other digital criminals will be compensated by trying to exploit a software to find loopholes that can then be fixed. This program will force the digital crime rate down and could be massively successful for all nations that are involved.



BREAKING

TERRIBLE CRISIS IN DISEC

WRITTEN BY: EDITOR IN CHIEF BENNETT DEDOMINICAS

Earlier today, the DISEC committee heard of a terrible crisis at the US Mexican border. There was a massacre of Columbian families by the United States' border patrol. The 150 immigrants were composed of many peaceful families. They spent many days traveling there through the Rio Grande river, attempting to escape the struggles and persecution they were facing. Upon arriving at the US border, waving white flags and showing no signs of violence, the US border patrol opened fire. They gunned down nearly every Columbian immigrant, leaving a large number dead and more wounded.

We were able to get a survivor to speak at the committee, a young Columbian girl who attempted to enter the US with her mother and little brother. She told the delegates about her family's struggle, not only with the border, but in Columbia and along the journey. This event must have been a traumatic and awful experience that will scar the survivors for a very long time.

After the crisis was announced to the delegates, there was a press conference. In this conference, the US was asked what they thought about their actions. Their reply was concerning, "Were they warned?", this indicates a lack of respect for human lives. Not only were the immigrants waving white flags, a universal sign of peace, they were mostly made up of children and their families. We expect to see more of this committee but further review shows a highly polarized, chaotic, and unproductive environment. Debate seemed to be leading nowhere and blocs were forming without clear direction. There are many different opinions clashing and it seems as if a solution will never be reached.

BREAKING NEWS!

CRISIS ! ? !

During our interviews with the UNEP committee, we encountered outrageous ideals and blatant avoidance on the topic of environmental safety.

ALARMING IGNORANCE

We asked countries many questions. Where we got a lot of unusual responses that left us astonished. Such as Chile's blatant disregard to the loss of human lives when asked about the viability of clean nuclear energy. Russia seemed to be diverting the topic to a potential attack from Ukraine, seeming to place them as a scapegoat Australia put forward the idea of using AI regulations to prevent human errors from happening in the future. Chile then completely disagreed with this idea, saying AI cannot be trusted to manage such a potentially devastating source of power.



*By: Azra Gul, Press Corps Chair
Teddy Raut, Chair*

When asked if they thought clean nuclear energy was still a viable option after today's events, every delegate called upon answered yes without a second of hesitation.



BLATANT ARROGANCE

Delegates seemed to avoid topic of contamination and rather tried to promote their own blocs. The answers we did receive pertained more towards aid than contamination, such as fall-out shelters and regulations.

